amount of peculiarity, the real reason for its distinction lies in the comparatively small size of the teeth, by which it is distinguished from the other species of *Melogale*. The teeth of the type are unworn and quite perfect.

## Helictis subaurantiaca modesta, subsp. n.

Essential characters of true subaurantiaca, this species differing from the continental H. moschata by its smaller size. General colour as in the typical form, except that the white head-markings are greatly reduced. The usual frontal white patch between eyes almost obsolete, a few odd hairs alone white. White of cheeks not rising up to eye, the brown bar below the eye over 5 mm. in breadth. Broad white band between eye and car reduced to a narrow line, between which and the ear there is a broad area of brown continuous with the general brown of the upper surface. Back of ears brown, the edges only white. Nape-line much reduced, interrupted on the neck, and only reaching to the withers.

Skull as in subaurantiaca.

Dimensions of the type (measured on skiu):— Head and body 338 mm.; tail 148; hind foot 53.

Skull: median length 79.5; zygomatic breadth 45; interorbital breadth 20; mastoid breadth 38; front of canine to back of  $m^1$  24; combined length of  $p^4$  and  $m^1$  10.

Hab. Mountains of Central Formosa. Type from Bankoro.
Type. Adult male. B.M. no. 8.4.1.53. Original number 70. Collected 30th March, 1907, for Mr. A. Owston.
Purchased.

Distinguished from subaurantiaca in very much the same way as true moschata is from ferreogrisea—that is, by the lesser amount of the white head-markings. Both the latter are larger than the Formosan forms.

## XX.—On the Systematic Arrangement of the Marmosets. By Oldfield Thomas.

(Published by permission of the Trustees of the British Museum.)

THE necessity for relabelling the Museum collection of Marmosets has caused an examination into the question as to how many genera of these animals should be recognized,

their earlier division simply into two by the characters of the teeth having been rejected by Elliot\*, whose arrangement in turn has been modified by Pocock †.

Elliot recognizes five genera, as follows :-

Seniocebus, with type bicolor. Cercopithecus, type midas.

Leontocebus (type chrysomelas), with two subgenera, Tamarinus (type mystaæ), and Marikina (type chrysomelas).

Œdipomidas, type ædipus. Callithrix, type jacchus.

Pocock modifies this, largely on the characters of the ears and feet, to the extent of rejecting Seniocebus, which he unites under the name of Mystax with Cercopithecus and the "Tamarinus" subgenus of "Leontocebus," recognizing Leontocebus as a full genus, and, of course, also recognizing Callithriv, which he rightly, for the time being, calls Hapale. His four genera are thus:—

Leontocebus, type chrysomelas. Œdipomidas, type ædipus. Mystax, type mystax. Hapale, type jacchus.

But it appears to me that in this scheme there is no very satisfactory place for the Seniocebus section (type bicolor), for while the general appearance and short-haired or naked face of its members show relationship to the short-eared Edipomidas, their ears are as long as in Mystax. To get out of this difficulty I would suggest that we should recognize Seniocebus, with which, besides the naked-faced bicolor, martinsi, and meticulosus, we might place leucopus, with face short-haired, though not naked.

It is noteworthy that all the groups, used here for convenience' sake as genera, can be readily distinguished by the coloration of the tail and limbs, in spite of the wide variation in the colours of the body and head. On this basis, and with the difference in the presence or absence of ear-tufts, I would

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Primates,' i. pp. 179-233 (1912).

<sup>† &</sup>quot;The Genera of Hapalidae," Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist. (8) xx. p. 247 (1917).

even split the normal-sized species of Hapale into two groups, largely on account of the two sharply differentiated forms of coloration found in it, and would also distinguish the Pygmy Marmoset as a special genus.

Thus, apart from Callimico, the whole may be classified as

follows:

A. Lower teeth normal, the canines much longer than the incisors.

u. Fingers elongated. Tail (at least its upper side) and forearms golden yellow. Skull dolichocephalic. Teeth large .....

1. Leontocebus. Species: chrysomelas (type), leoninus, rosalia.

b. Fingers normal. Skull more brachycephalic.

Teeth smaller.

a2. Tail and forearms uniformly black \*. Face 2. Mystax. hairy as usual ..... Species: mystax (type), apiculatus, bluntschlii, chrysopygus,

devillei, flavifrons, fuscicollis, graellsi, griseoventris, illigeri, imperator, labiatus, lagonotus, leucogenys, melanoleucus, midas, nigricollis, nigrifrons, pileatus, rufimanus, rufiventer, rufoniger, thomasi, tripartitus, wesulus, weddelli.

b2. Forearms and hands white or yellowish. Face, or at least its sides, short-haired or naked.

a3. Ears of normal length. Tail dark proximally, at least above, lighter terminally. 3. Seniocebus Species: bicolor (type), leucopus, martinsi, meticulosus. 3. Seniocebus.

b3. Ears short. Tail red proximally, black ter-4. Œdipomidas. Species: adipus (type), geoffroyi, salaquiensis.

B. Lower teeth modified, the canines little longer than the incisors.

c. Size about as in other marmosets. Mandible high in proportion to its length, the condylar process

upright.  $P^1$  with an internal lobe,  $c^2$ . Ears untufted. Tail wholly black. . . . . . 5. Mico.

Species: argentatus (type), emiliæ, leucippe, melanurus.

d2. Ears with long tufts on or round them. Tail ringed with white or yellowish . . . . . . . . . 6. Hapale. Species: jacchus (type), albicollis, aurita, chrysoleucu, flaviceps,

humeralifer, jordani, leucocephala, penicillata, santaremensis. Mandible lower in propord. Size much smaller. tion to length; condylar process slanted backwards, P without internal lobe...... 7. Cebuella.

Species: pygmæa (type).

Three white species, however, whose original derivation may or may not have been due to albinism, will not fall

<sup>\*</sup> Hands sometimes vellow, generally black.

readily into this scheme, owing to their abnormal colour. But their positions seem clear enough, viz.:—

Mico melanoleucus, Rib., is a Mystax. Hapale chrysoleuca, Wagn. (incl. sericeus, Gray), is a true Hapale.

And the following new species is a Mico:-

## Mico leucippe, sp. n.

Like Hapale chrysoleuca, but the ears untufted, nearly naked. Head, fore limbs, body, and hips quite white; lower legs, feet, and tail light golden yellow, not so strong as in chrysoleuca.

Teeth with the structure typical of Hapale, not of

Mystax.

Dimensions of the type (measured in the flesh by Fräulein

Snethlage):-

Head and body 235 mm.; tail 342; hind foot 64; car 30.

Skull: greatest length 48.5; basal length 33.8; breadth

of brain-case 26.2; maxillary tooth-row 11.8.

Hab. Lower Amazons. Type from Pimental, Rio Tapajoz. Type. Adult male. B.M. no. 9. 3. 9. 2. Original number 14. Collected 13th November, 1908, by Fräulein Dr. E. Snethlage. Presented by the authorities of the Museum Goeldi, Para.

This beautiful white marmoset had been supposed to be Hapale chrysoleuca, but is readily distinguished by its wholly untufted and almost naked ears. Two specimens were

obtained by Fräulein Snethlage, both quite alike.

"Shot in deep forest."-E. S.

XXI.—The Holotype of Parazetes auchenicus, Slater (Pycnogonida). By W. T. CALMAN, D.Sc., F.R.S.

(Published by permission of the Trustees of the British Museum.)

In a paper published in this Magazine in 1879 (ser. 5, vol. iii. p. 281), the late Mr. H. H. Slater described a Pycnogon from Japan as *Parazetes auchenicus*, gen. et sp. n. He stated that